

Eigenvalues in gaps of selfadjoint operators in Pontryagin spaces

Friedrich Philipp

Technische Universität Ilmenau, Postfach 10 05 65, 98684 Ilmenau, Germany,
fmphilipp@gmail.com

Abstract

Given an open real interval Δ and two selfadjoint operators A_1, A_2 in a Π_κ -space with n -dimensional resolvent difference we show that the difference of the total multiplicities of the eigenvalues of A_1 and A_2 in Δ is at most $n + 2\kappa$.

Keywords: Pontryagin space, selfadjoint operator, eigenvalue, gap
MSC 2010: 46C20, 47B50, 47A11, 47A55

1 Introduction and main result

For selfadjoint operators A_1 and A_2 in a Hilbert space with n -dimensional resolvent difference (that is

$$\dim((A_1 - \lambda)^{-1} - (A_2 - \lambda)^{-1}) = n$$

holds for some (and hence for all) $\lambda \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2)$) it is well-known that for each open interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_1)$ we have

$$|\text{eig}(A_1, \Delta) - \text{eig}(A_2, \Delta)| \leq n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\text{eig}(A_j, \Delta)$ denotes the number of eigenvalues of A_j in Δ (counting multiplicities), $j = 1, 2$. In this note it is our main objective to generalize this theorem to the situation where A_1 and A_2 are selfadjoint operators in a Pontryagin space (for a detailed study of Pontryagin spaces and operators therein we refer to the monographs [1, 3, 4]). Since in the proof for the Hilbert space case (see, e.g., [2, §9.3, Theorem 3]) it is essential that the underlying inner product is positive definite, it cannot be expected that the estimate (1.1) holds in the Pontryagin space situation. And indeed, the following simple example shows that (1.1) is not even true in a two-dimensional Π_1 -space.

Example 1.1. In the space \mathbb{C}^2 we define the matrices

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the inner product $[x, y] := (Jx, y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^2$, where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the standard scalar product in \mathbb{C}^2 . Both matrices A_1 and A_2 are obviously selfadjoint in $(\mathbb{C}^2, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Moreover, $A_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = A_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$. But $\sigma(A_1) = \{0\}$ while $\sigma(A_2) = \{1/2, 1\}$ and hence $\text{eig}(A_1, (1/4, 2)) = 0$ while $\text{eig}(A_2, (1/4, 2)) = 2$.

If $(\mathfrak{P}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Pontryagin space and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{P}$ is a closed subspace, then we write $\text{sig}(\mathcal{M}) := \kappa_+(\mathcal{M}) - \kappa_-(\mathcal{M})$, where $\kappa_+(\mathcal{M})$ ($\kappa_-(\mathcal{M})$) denotes the number of positive (negative) squares of the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathcal{M} . With this definition our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A_1 and A_2 be selfadjoint operators in a Pontryagin space $(\mathfrak{P}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with n -dimensional resolvent difference. Then for every open (bounded or unbounded) interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_1)$ we have*

$$|\text{sig}(\mathcal{L}_\Delta(A_2)) - \text{sig}(\mathcal{L}_\Delta(A_1))| \leq n, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(A_j)$ denotes the closed linear span of the root subspaces of A_j corresponding to the eigenvalues of A_j in Δ , $j = 1, 2$. In particular, if κ denotes the number of negative squares of the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathfrak{P} , then

$$|\text{eig}(A_1, \Delta) - \text{eig}(A_2, \Delta)| \leq n + 2\kappa. \quad (1.3)$$

We prove the theorem in section 2. Clearly, if the Pontryagin space is in fact a Hilbert space (i.e. $\kappa = 0$), then both (1.2) and (1.3) coincide with (1.1). Hence, Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of the known Hilbert space result.

An isolated eigenvalue of a selfadjoint operator in a Krein space $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is said to be of *positive type* if the corresponding eigenspace is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. The following corollary can be seen as a local version of the Hilbert space case.

Corollary 1.3. *Let \mathfrak{P} , A_1 , A_2 and Δ be as in Theorem 1.2. If the number of negative squares of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the spaces $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(A_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(A_2)$ coincide, then we have*

$$|\text{eig}(A_1, \Delta) - \text{eig}(A_2, \Delta)| \leq n.$$

This holds in particular if the eigenvalues of A_1 and A_2 in Δ are of positive type.

If $(\mathfrak{P}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Pontryagin space with κ positive squares, then the application of Theorem 1.2 to the Pontryagin space $(\mathfrak{P}, -[\cdot, \cdot])$ also yields (1.2) and (1.3). In the finite-dimensional case this leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *Let \mathfrak{P} , A_1 , A_2 and Δ be as in Theorem 1.2. If $\dim \mathfrak{P} < \infty$, then*

$$|\text{eig}(A_1, \Delta) - \text{eig}(A_2, \Delta)| \leq n + 2 \min\{\kappa_+(\mathfrak{P}), \kappa_-(\mathfrak{P})\}.$$

We conclude this section with an example which shows that equality in (1.3) is possible in the case $\kappa = 1$.

Example 1.5. In the space \mathbb{C}^3 define the matrices

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 100i & 0 \\ 100i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 100i & 0 \\ 100i & 400 & 20 \\ 0 & 20 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot] := (J\cdot, \cdot)$. We have

$$A_1(1, 0, 0)^T = A_2(1, 0, 0)^T \quad \text{and} \quad A_1(0, 1, -20)^T = A_2(0, 1, -20)^T.$$

Hence, $n = 1$. Moreover, $\sigma(A_1) = \{100i, -100i, 0\}$ and A_2 has three distinct eigenvalues in $(0, \infty)$.

2 Proof of the main result

Recall that an open interval (a, b) belongs to the resolvent set of a selfadjoint operator T in a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ if and only if

$$((T - a)x, (T - b)x) \geq 0$$

holds for all $x \in \text{dom } T$. The same inequality with the opposite relation, i.e.

$$((T - a)x, (T - b)x) \leq 0,$$

holds for all $x \in \text{dom } T$ if and only if $\sigma(T) \subset [a, b]$. These relations will be used below.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{P}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Pontryagin space with κ negative squares, let A be a selfadjoint operator in $(\mathfrak{P}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. Then the following holds:*

- (a) *If $[a, b] \subset \rho(A)$, then \mathfrak{P} admits a decomposition $\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{M}_- \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_+$, where $\mathcal{M}_- \subset \text{dom } A$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}_- = \kappa$ such that*

$$[(A - a)x, (A - b)x] < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}_- \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$[(A - a)x, (A - b)x] > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\mathcal{M}_+ \cap \text{dom } A) \setminus \{0\}$$

- (b) *If $\sigma(A) \subset (a, b)$, then \mathfrak{P} admits a decomposition $\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{M}_- \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_+$, where $\dim \mathcal{M}_- = \kappa$ such that*

$$[(A - a)x, (A - b)x] > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}_- \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$[(A - a)x, (A - b)x] < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. First of all we show that it is no restriction to assume that the operator A is bounded. In case (b) this immediately follows from the condition $\sigma(A) \subset (a, b)$. In case (a) we choose a ball $B_r(0)$, $r > 0$, with the zero point in the center such that the non-real spectrum of A is contained in $B_r(0)$ and $E(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-r, r])\mathfrak{P}$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ (where E denotes the spectral function of the operator A). The

restriction of A to this Hilbert space is selfadjoint. Hence $[(A-a)x, (A-b)x] > 0$ holds for all $x \in E(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-r, r])\mathfrak{F}$, $x \neq 0$. Thus, if (a) holds for the bounded operator $A|_{E([-r, r])\mathfrak{F}}$, then it obviously also holds for A .

By a Theorem of L.S. Pontryagin (see also [4, Theorem 12.1']) there exists a κ -dimensional non-positive subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ which is A -invariant. Choose a (negative) subspace $\mathcal{L}_- \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_- \dot{+} \mathcal{L}^\circ$, where \mathcal{L}° denotes the isotropic part of \mathcal{L} . Evidently, \mathcal{L}° is A -invariant. By [3, Theorem IX.2.5] (see also [4, Theorem 3.4]) there exist a subspace $\mathfrak{F}_0 \subset \text{dom}A$ with $\dim \mathfrak{F}_0 = \dim \mathcal{L}^\circ$ and a (uniformly) positive subspace \mathcal{M} such that

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{L}_- \dot{+} (\mathcal{L}^\circ \dot{+} \mathfrak{F}_0) \dot{+} \mathcal{M}.$$

Since \mathcal{L}° , \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}^\circ \dot{+} \mathcal{M}$ are A -invariant, with respect to the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{L}^\circ \dot{+} \mathcal{L}_- \dot{+} \mathcal{M} \dot{+} \mathfrak{F}_0$$

the operator A has the following operator matrix representation:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ 0 & A_{22} & 0 & A_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In both cases (a) and (b) we have $a, b \in \rho(A)$. Therefore, the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle := [(A-a)x, (A-b)y], \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{F}$$

defines a Krein space inner product on \mathfrak{F} .

We only consider the case (a) here. The proof of (b) follows analogous lines. For $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\langle \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \rangle = [A_{13}\mathfrak{m} + (A_{33}-a)\mathfrak{m}, A_{13}\mathfrak{m} + (A_{33}-b)\mathfrak{m}] = [(A_{33}-a)\mathfrak{m}, (A_{33}-b)\mathfrak{m}].$$

From $(a-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon) \subset \rho(A) \subset \rho(A_{33})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and the selfadjointness of A_{33} in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{M}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ we conclude that

$$[(A_{33} - (a-\varepsilon))\mathfrak{m}, (A_{33} - (b+\varepsilon))\mathfrak{m}] \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$\langle \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \rangle \geq \varepsilon(b-a+\varepsilon)[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}],$$

which shows that \mathcal{M} is uniformly $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -positive. Similarly, it is shown that \mathcal{L}_- is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -negative. Moreover, \mathcal{L}° , \mathcal{L}_- and \mathcal{M} are mutually $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -orthogonal and \mathcal{L}° is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -neutral. Hence, $(\mathfrak{F}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Pontryagin space with κ negative squares which proves the assertion. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is no restriction to assume that the number of eigenvalues of A_1 in Δ (counting multiplicities) is finite. By E_j we denote the spectral function of the operator A_j , $j = 1, 2$. Let $\Delta' = (a, b)$ be a subinterval of Δ which contains all the eigenvalues

of A_1 in Δ such that $[a, b] \subset \Delta$ and $a, b \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2)$. According to Proposition 2.1 for $j = 1, 2$ we have decompositions

$$(I - E_j(\Delta'))\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{M}_{+,out}^j \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{-,out}^j \quad \text{and} \quad E_j(\Delta')\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^j \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{-,in}^j,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{-,out}^j \subset \text{dom}A_j$,

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_{-,out}^j = \kappa_-((I - E_j(\Delta'))\mathfrak{P}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{M}_{-,in}^j = \kappa_-(E_j(\Delta')\mathfrak{P})$$

such that

$$[(A_j - a)x, (A_j - b)x] < 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (\mathcal{M}_{-,out}^j \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^j) \setminus \{0\},$$

and

$$[(A_j - a)x, (A_j - b)x] > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in ((\mathcal{M}_{+,out}^j \cap \text{dom}A_j) \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{-,in}^j) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Evidently,

$$\mathfrak{P} = (\mathcal{M}_{+,out}^1 \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{-,out}^1) \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_{+,in}^1 \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{-,in}^1).$$

Let Q_1 be the projection onto $\mathcal{M}_{-,out}^1 \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^1$ with respect to this decomposition of \mathfrak{P} . Moreover, set

$$\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{M}_{-,out}^2 \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^2) \cap \mathcal{D},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} := \{x \in \text{dom}A_1 \cap \text{dom}A_2 : A_1x = A_2x\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{-,out}^2 \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^2 \subset \text{dom}A_2$. Assume that there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $Q_1x = 0$ and $x \neq 0$. From $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we deduce

$$[(A_1 - a)x, (A_1 - b)x] = [(A_2 - a)x, (A_2 - b)x] < 0.$$

But $Q_1x = 0$ implies $x \in \mathcal{M}_{+,out}^1 \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_{-,in}^1$ and hence

$$[(A_1 - a)x, (A_1 - b)x] > 0.$$

A contradiction. Therefore, the restriction of the linear mapping Q_1 to \mathcal{H} is one-to-one which yields $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq \dim Q_1\mathfrak{P}$, i.e.

$$\dim \mathcal{H} \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{-,out}^1 + \dim \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^1 = \kappa_-((I - E_1(\Delta'))\mathfrak{P}) + \kappa_+(E_1(\Delta')\mathfrak{P}).$$

On the other hand, as $\dim(\text{dom}A_2/\mathcal{D}) = n$ it follows that

$$\dim \mathcal{H} \geq \dim \mathcal{M}_{-,out}^2 + \dim \mathcal{M}_{+,in}^2 - n = \kappa_-((I - E_2(\Delta'))\mathfrak{P}) + \kappa_+(E_2(\Delta')\mathfrak{P}) - n,$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_+(E_2(\Delta')\mathfrak{P}) - \kappa_+(E_1(\Delta')\mathfrak{P}) &\leq n + \kappa_-((I - E_1(\Delta'))\mathfrak{P}) - \kappa_-((I - E_2(\Delta'))\mathfrak{P}) \\ &= n + (\kappa - \kappa_-(E_1(\Delta')\mathfrak{P})) - (\kappa - \kappa_-(E_2(\Delta')\mathfrak{P})) \\ &= n + \kappa_-(E_2(\Delta')\mathfrak{P}) - \kappa_-(E_1(\Delta')\mathfrak{P}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\text{sig}(\mathcal{L}_{\Delta'}(A_2)) - \text{sig}(\mathcal{L}_{\Delta'}(A_1)) \leq n$ and hence also

$$\text{eig}(A_2, \Delta') \leq n + 2\kappa + \text{eig}(A_1, \Delta).$$

Now, it is clear that $\text{eig}(A_2, \Delta)$ is finite, and the relations (1.2) and (1.3) follow. \square

References

- [1] T.Ya. Azizov, I.S. Iokhvidov, Linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric, John Wiley Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1989.
- [2] M.Sh. Birman, M.Z. Solomjak, Spectral theory of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space, Mathematics and its applications, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987.
- [3] J. Bognar, Indefinite inner product spaces, Springer, 1974.
- [4] I.S. Iokhvidov, M.G. Krein, H. Langer, Introduction to the spectral theory of operators in spaces with an indefinite metric, Akademie-Verlag, 1982.